A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

Preliminary										
Item	Yes	Partly	No							
Email	syful.azlie@moh.gov.my									
Name of person completing the checklist	SYFUL AZLIE MD FUZI									
Organization (acronym)	MaHTAS									
Reference of report	AVEMAR GRANULATE AS DIETARY FOOD / SPECIAL PURPOSE FOOD FOR CANCER									

ltem							Yes	Partiy	NO	
Preliminary						•				
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?										
2. Authors identified?										
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?										
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?									√	
5. Short summary in non-technical language?									√	
Why?										
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?							V			
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?							V			
8. Scope of the assessment specified?							V			
9. Description of the assessed health technology?							V			
How?						l .		II.	I.	
10. Details on so	urces of	information	on and literature	search	strategies p	rovide	d?			
Search		bases	Year		anguage	ı	rimary	Othe	Other kind of	
strategy			range		restriction		data	info	rmation	
$\sqrt{}$		\checkmark	$\sqrt{}$	V			$\sqrt{}$	res	ources	
		1							٧	
Complete reference list of included studies		List of excluded studies			Inclusion criteria		Exclusion criteria		_	
√ V		-			√ √		√ √		4	
11. Information of	n basis 1	or the as	sessment and in	terpreta	ation of selec	cted da	ata and	information	?	
			al appraisal Method of data				Results of the			
extraction described?		method (for quality		synthesis described		bed?				
.1		assessment of the literature) described?			2		presented, eg, in of evidence ta			
٧		interature) described:		V			√		abies:	
0 1 10			•					•		
Context? 12. Information of	n contox	t (may or	mov not apply to a	oob UT	· /)					
(Medico-) legal		conomic	Ethica		Socia	1		ther perspe	ctives	
implications		analysis implicatio					•			
considered?		ovided?	considere							
-		-	-		-	<u> </u>		-	ī	
What then?							Yes	Partly	No	
13. Findings of the	ne asses	sment dis	cussed?				√			
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?							V			
15. Suggestions	for furthe	er action?					V			